

Message

From: Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)
Sent: 1/18/2017 5:15:09 PM
To: Kinard, Sherrie [Kinard.Sherrie@epa.gov]
Subject: Fw: Pesticide sample results 57 days after the last spray

Hi Sherrie,

Another piece that is important. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) was at her desk when the Presto-X applicator came back and asked her to slide back out of her desk. She had bare feet (this was July), summer dress and she slid back while he sprayed around the bottom of her area. He told her she could slide back into her desk area with bare feet on the carpet. Also, we have the email that we sent you that entails that the manager from NG required that the exterminator could not leave until the entire room was covered. This room had boxes and boxes of documents on the floors and counters. We had food in the break rooms, as well as water bottles and coffee mugs on our desks. WE had our pens, pencils and food we sat on the desktops, we had our food bags, our sweaters and athletic shoes on the floors.

All covered in this stuff.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)
To: "Kinard, Sherrie" <Kinard.Sherrie@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 10:07 AM
Subject: Re: Pesticide sample results 57 days after the last spray

Hi Sherrie,

I sent you the samples to validate that the chemicals were still in these area's after 58 days after the last spray. The amount of the samples found were thrown out of the hearing because the carpets had been cleaned the night before they took samples, I as well as other employees cleaned our desks weekly with wipes, and the amount of traffic that used these desks, floors and areas daily for the 58 days, so the levels were not relevant or accurate.

Yes, Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) as in training in the conference room in 259C when she seen them spraying it and was asked to leave for the 10 minute break for them to spray. Once they were done the employees returned to the freshly sprayed conference room.

Now in 259B (my work area) the Long Term Care Office for the State of Wyoming (Medicaid), we were told that they NEVER sprayed in our area. However, my attorney argued that how did the chemical get onto my floor and onto my desktop if they never sprayed? I sent you the email from Colleen that stated they never sprayed. In the beginning, they told Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) they never sprayed 259C while I had manager's notes stating from the State of Wyoming, that 259C and the conference rooms were treated before July 13, 2015. Northrop Grumman even lied to Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) doctors about this trying to get her to return. Now there is a note that the applicator person asked the Manager about allergies, pregnancies etc. The manager for NG replied "no". However, she never asked any of the employees, and the State never admitted to spraying 259B, so we of course were never asked. During these sprays, a young woman (that sat across from Jan Stall's office which Cathy Ziemans can clarify) was sprayed on July 22, 2015, went into labor right after the last spray at 29 weeks, during the same time, a man from the NG mailroom, Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) one of my fellow workers on the State side reported Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) and there were at least 9 other workers that reported symptoms on both sides to the CDC, Poison Control, and OSHA.

Now you ask about Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) they sat in 259A. I worked in all three; my work area was 259B, I went back and forth into 259C and 259D to exchange documents, talk to the representatives at their desks, and go to the conference room for meetings in 259C. I did not work with NG, but I can tell you that 45 employees sat in this stuff till the end. There were at least (2) pregnant workers, Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) I have at least one text from Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) most of these people were afraid to loose their jobs if they pressed it. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) is told in the office with her supervisor Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) she reported the workers compensation that her promotions would be affected. Her supervisor was recorded to support this statement made by her during the hearing for her unemployment claim. If you need the emails from Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) or conformation, I can give you her number or emails.

Hope this helps,

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

From: "Kinard, Sherrie" <Kinard.Sherrie@epa.gov>
To: [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 8:11 AM
Subject: RE: Pesticide sample results 57 days after the last spray

Hello [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]
Did [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)] sit in 259B? The samples came back non-detect/less than detection level in 259B.
What about [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)] what suite did they sit in?

Sherrie Kinard, Environmental Scientist
Pesticides Enforcement Program (8ENF-UFO)
Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
303-312-6613 - direct line
303-312-7202 - fax
Kinard.Sherrie@epa.gov

From: [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]
Sent: Friday, January 13, 2017 3:28 PM
To: Kinard, Sherrie <Kinard.Sherrie@epa.gov>
Cc: [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]
Subject: Pesticide sample results 57 days after the last spray

Hi Sherrie,

These samples collected were in the 259B, 259D and 259C suites.
My area was the very first sample area where they said it was never sprayed.
On the samples you can see where they label [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]

We believe our chairs got it too, they did not test them.

Thanks,

[Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]